UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,342	05/05/2006	Martin Watzl	10191/4376	6593
26646 KENYON & K	7590 11/13/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	BEAULIEU, YONEL		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/578,342	WATZL, MARTIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	/Yonel Beaulieu/	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	-· action is non-final.				
,					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
dissect in assertation with the practice and in E.	x parte quayre, 1000 0.D. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-24</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) Claim(o) and dubject to rectnetion and, or	olocion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	·				
	<u> </u>				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
_ .	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/5/06</u> .					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13 – 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5371858 to Miller et al ("Miller").

Regarding claims 13, 14, 16, and 18 - 24, Miller teaches adaptation of a function for controlling an operating sequence comprising assigning variable address information present in a memory device (col. 32, lines 12 - 33 and 39 - 43 at least); loading out of the memory device the address information by at least one load instruction (col. 12, lines 43 - 53; col. 14, lines 8 - 12; and col. 23, lines 3 - 27 at least); Miller's memory are identified as (501 and 400), respectively, (note col. 14 - Table A; col. 15, lines 1 - 33) and (400; col. 12, lines 3 - 53), respectively. Miller fails to explicitly teach *replacing* the address information with instruction.

However, Miller does teach address assignment. Therefore, it is the Examiner's position such to have been obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the invention because since the address is 'assignable,' replacing the [assigned] address would involve routine skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,342

Art Unit: 3661

Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller ('858) as applied to claims 13-14 above, and further in view of USP 4530052 A to King et al ("King").

As discussed above, Miller teaches all of the limitations of claims 13-14 except for the explicit recitation of the initial address being located in a reserved memory area.

However, King teaches, in the same field of endeavor of controlling an operating sequence, an initial (supervisor base address) being located in reserved memory area (see figs. 14 and 16 in combination; note col. 18, line 37 – col. 19, line 23 at least).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Miller's teaching by including a reserved area for the memory as evidenced by King in order to preserve efficiency of the sequence.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Yonel Beaulieu/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-6955. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Wed. & Thur. between 0900 and 1600.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yonel Beaulieu/ Yonel Beaulieu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661